IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Cr.Misc. No.43701 of 2008
SATENDRA KUMAR SINGH @ SATENDRA YADAV, SON OF LATE KESHO SINGH, RESIDENT OF VILLAGE DEOCHANDA, P.S. SIKRAHATA, DISTRICT BHOJPUR
Versus
STATE OF BIHAR
WITH
Cr.Misc. No.46640 of 2008
RAJESH KUMAR SINGH @ RAJESH KUMAR YADAV, SON OF KANHAIYA SINGH, RESIDENT OF VILLAGE PIRO, P.S. PIRO, DISTRICT BHOJPUR----------PETITIONERS.
Versus
STATE OF BIHAR----------OPPOSITE PARTY.
---

02. 21.11.2008. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the State.

Petitioner in both the application are accused in a case under Section 392 of the Penal Code. Satendra Kumar Singh @ Satendra Yadav has been made accused in the present case on the basis of the confessional statement of Rajesh Kumar Singh who was remanded in the instant case from another case registered for the offence under Section 380 I.P.C as in that case it is said that he made confessional statement. It is submitted on behalf of Satendra Kumar Singh @ Satendra Yadav that his antecedent is absolutely clean, which fact be verified by the court below and if found correct then petitioner, namely, Satendra Kumar Singh @ Satendra Yadav is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 5000/- (Five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bhojpur in connection with Piro P.S. Case No. 90 of 2008 as he has remained in jail custody for about six months.

So far petitioner Rajesh Kumar Singh @ Rajesh Kumar Yadav is concerned, there is yet another case registered under Section 380 I.P.C. to his credit. In the circumstances, his application for grant

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

of bail is, accordingly, rejected with liberty to renew prayer after completing one year in jail custody.

The trial Magistrate(Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bhojpur, Ara), however, is directed to ensure conclusion of the trial in connection with Piro P.S. Case No. 90 of 2008 in the meanwhile.

Let this order be forwarded to the learned Magistrate through fax by the office of this Court.

(V.N.Sinha,J.)

Rajesh/

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL